# MT neurons have different tuning properties at contrast threshold and above Ambarish S. Pawar, Sergei Gepshtein, Thomas D. Albright, Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, CA, USA

## Background

A fundamental question in neuroscience concerns the mechanism by which sensory neurons give rise to perceptual experience. One way to understand these mechanisms is to study their visual selectivity (tuning).

Different measures of selectivity are used in physiological and behavioral studies:

response functions measured, at high contrasts (physiology)

contrast sensitivity functions, at threshold contrasts (behavior)

In physiology studies, response functions are often used as a proxy for contrast sensitivity functions. Is this a valid approach?

Here we ask whether neurons have similar tuning properties at threshold and supra-threshold contrasts.

## Methods

Experiments were performed on two alert fixating rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta).

Neural responses were measured from single cells in the middle temporal area (MT) of the visual cortex.

For 130 cells, we obtained the receptive field center, preferred direction, spatial frequency (SF) and temporal frequency (TF) tuning of the neuron at 100% contrast.

Most neuronal receptive fields were within 6° of the fovea.



**RIGHT: Human contrast sensitivity** for all visible SF and TF.

Neural responses measured at:

• 5 SF (0.015 to 16 cycles/degree).

• 1-3 TF (0.25 to 32 Hz).

• 5-7 contrasts levels (0.5-100%).

For the population of neurons, stimulus space covered the entire range of spatiotemporal frequencies, as indicated by

## References

Kelly (1979) Motion and vision II Stabilized spatiotemporal threshold surface. JOSA 69:1340-1349

Gepshtein, Lesmes, Albright (2013) Sensory adaptation as optimal resource allocation. PNAS 110 (11): 4368-4373

Priebe, Lisberger (2004) Estimating target speed from the population response in visual area MT. The Journal of Neuroscience 24(8):1907-16

Movshon, Thompson, Tolhurst (1978) Spatial and temporal contrast sensitivity of neurones in areas 17 and 18 of the cat's visual cortex. The Journal of Physiology 283:101

Sceniak, Hawken, Shapley (2002) Contrast-dependent changes in spatial frequency tuning of macaque V1 neurons: effects of a changing receptive field size. Journal of Neurophysiology 88(3):1363-73

Tsodyks, Skaggs, Sejnowski, McNaughton (1997) Paradoxical effects of external modulation of inhibitory interneurons. The Journal of neuroscience 17(11):4382-8.

Rubin, Van Hooser, Miller (2015) The stabilized supralinear network: A unifying circuit motif underlaying multi-input integration in sensory cortex. Neuron 85, 402-417

Savel'ev, Gepshtein (2016) Interference of neural waves in distributed inhibition-stabilized networks arXiv:1410.4237v3 [1-bio.NC]

Acknowledgments: NIH Grant EY018613



### SF tuning across contrasts

(indicated by legend).

### **Drift of peak spatial frequency across cells**







A distributed network of canonical inhibition stabilized motifs (A) with nearest-nighbor coupling (B). Each node contains excitatory and inhibitory cells wth reciprocal and

LEFT: Network response to a low-contrast stimulus small applied to a single node of the chain. It generates a neural wave that has the shape of damped oscillation.

The periodic response reflects the arrangement of nodes in the chain and the magnitudes of weights that provide network stabilization.

The wavelength of oscillations generated by the chain is its "intrinsic" wavelength. In the linear regime, properties of such spatial oscillations help to predict system responses to complex stimuli. The waveform generated by a complex stimulus is predicted by linear interference of the waves generated on multiple nodes of the chain. The above RIGHT panel depicts an example of such neural wave interference produced by periodic stimuli

> The model of neural chain with the same parameters as in the previous section was stimulated by a spatially extended stimulus with cosine luminance modulation, similar to one used in our experiments.

> Network inputs were selected to represent stimulus contrast varying in the range from 0.001 to 1.00. The results are plotted for six magnitudes

> The results reveal that intrinsic tuning of the network is a function of stimulus contrast. At low contrasts, up to 0.02 in these simulations, the maximum response of the chain increased, but the intrinsic frequency (normalized to the low-contrast intrinsic frequency) did not change.

> At higher contrasts, above 0.02, increasing the contrast led to an increased maximum response, as before, and also revealed an increased intrinsic frequency of the network.

> For the highest contrast of 1.0, the maximum of network activation was found at the stimulus spatial frequency of 1.43, i.e., 43% higher than at

Tuning of MT neurons drifts to higher spatial frequencies with contrast. The drift is

A model of distributed inhibition-stabilized network predicts such drift of frequency

Peaks of suprathreshold firing are most similar to peaks of neuronal sensitivity at